

## **Score Point 0—Insufficient Response to the Question**

**Insufficient responses indicate a very limited reading performance.**

These responses have one of the following problems.

- ❑ For one or both selections, the idea is not an answer to the question asked.
- ❑ The idea is incorrect because it is not based on one or both selections.
- ❑ For one or both selections, the idea is too general, vague, or unclear to determine whether it is reasonable.
- ❑ No idea is present from either selection. Sometimes the response contains only text evidence from one or both selections. At other times there appears to be an idea; however, this idea cannot be considered an answer to the question because it merely repeats verbatim, or “echoes,” the text evidence.

One year earlier, Bingham had declared that the newspaper business resembled "the last dinosaur in the swamp." While one quarter of all newsroom employees have lost their jobs.

**Score Point 0**

In this response the student does not offer an idea. The student provides only textual evidence from "Digital Dad Versus the Dinosaurs." Because this response contains only textual evidence and no idea that applies to both selections, it indicates a very limited reading performance.

I do not think both authors are alike in these two passages. In "2009 Young Innovators Under 35: Jaime Teevan is more into technology and computer base information" ... key ideas is that search engines can employ information" Jaime is using the web to find out news, information on someone. In "Digital Dad Versus Dinosaurs" The author would rather have information given to her through the newspaper. "As the internet exploded, my father took a certain satisfaction in being right" she grew up with her father being a worker at a news paper print and reading newspapers going on there.

**Score Point 0**

The idea presented for "2009 Young Innovators Under 35: Jaime Teevan, 32" is reasonable. However, the student presents an idea that is not an answer to the question asked because the idea compares Teevan to the author of "Digital Dad Versus the Dinosaurs," not Barry Bingham, Jr. Therefore, this response is insufficient.

## **Score Point 1—Partially Sufficient Response to the Question**

**Partially sufficient responses indicate a basic reading performance.**

These responses have one of the following characteristics.

- The idea is reasonable for both selections, but the response contains no text evidence (from one or both selections).
- The idea is reasonable for both selections, but the text evidence (from one or both selections) is flawed and does not adequately support the idea. Text evidence is considered inadequate when it is
  - only a general reference to the text,
  - too partial to support the idea,
  - weakly linked to the idea, or
  - used inappropriately because it wrongly manipulates the meaning of the text.
- For one or both selections, the idea needs more explanation or specificity even though it is supported with text evidence from both selections.
- For one or both selections, the idea represents only a literal reading of the text, with or without text evidence (from one or both selections).
- The response contains relevant textual evidence from both selections, but the student offers an idea that is reasonable for only one selection.
- The response contains an idea and relevant text evidence for both selections, but the idea for one selection contains an inaccuracy.

What both Jaime Teevan and Barry Bingham Jr. have in common is they both have great work ethic and determination. They both dedicated their work career to transforming technology. They also both helped advance and promote the use of the internet. Teevan helped create Bing and Bingham helped make it possible to read the newspaper online.

**Score Point 1**

The student presents the reasonable analysis that both Jaime Teevan and Barry Bingham, Jr., dedicated their careers to transforming technology and advancing the use of the Internet. However, no text evidence is provided to support the analysis, making this response partially sufficient.

Jaime Teevan and Barry Bingham, Jr. do have something in common they both show that change is important. In "2009 Young Innovators Under 35: Jaime Teevan, 32" Jaime researched how people searched for information online. She created a search engine that changed the way people search. In "Digital Dad Versus the Dinosaurs" Barry wanted to change the way people got newspapers. He shared his idea of "electronic newspapers" with as many people as possible.

**Score Point 1**

The student presents the idea that both Teevan and Bingham, Jr., illustrate that change is important: Teevan does this by changing the way people search the Internet, and Bingham, Jr., does this by changing the way people view newspapers. The text provided from both selections is flawed. The student attempts to provide relevant textual evidence in the form of paraphrased text from "2009 Young Innovators Under 35: Jaime Teevan, 32," but this text does not directly support the idea that Teevan created a search engine. In addition, the student makes only a general text reference to "Digital Dad Versus the Dinosaurs." A general text reference is not specific enough to be considered accurate and relevant text. Therefore, this response is only partially sufficient.

## **Score Point 2—Sufficient Response to the Question**

**Sufficient responses indicate a satisfactory reading performance.**

These responses have the following characteristics.

- ❑ For both selections, the idea is reasonable and goes beyond a literal reading of the text. It is explained specifically enough to show that the student can make appropriate connections across the selections and draw valid conclusions.
- ❑ For both selections, the text evidence that is used to support the idea is accurate and relevant.
- ❑ For both selections, the idea and text evidence used to support it are clearly linked.
- ❑ For both selections, the combination of the idea and the text evidence demonstrates a good understanding of the text.

Yes, I think Jaime Teevan and Barry Bingham Jr. both understood the importance of upcoming technology. Jaime Teevan, "... started building tools that sort Internet search results ...". Barry Bingham "... anticipated the coming era of electronic news, and he was genuinely excited about it."

**Score Point 2**

The student presents the reasonable idea that both Jaime Teevan and Barry Bingham, Jr., understood the importance of upcoming technology. The student provides a direct quotation from each selection to support the idea, making this a sufficient response.

Both Jaime Teevan and Barry Bingham Jr saw new ways of improving in their fields. Teevan for example "he came up with some ideas for judging link quality and helping people navigate the company's search engine" while "Data-driving environmentalist [Barry] hatched his vision of what he called the "electronic newspaper." They both sought new ways of improving in their fields.

**Score Point 2**

The student offers the reasonable idea that both Jaime Teevan and Barry Bingham, Jr., saw new ways of improving their respective fields. Direct quotations from the selections support this idea and indicate a good understanding of the texts. Therefore, this response represents a satisfactory reading performance.

## **Score Point 3—Exemplary Response to the Question**

**Exemplary responses indicate an accomplished reading performance.**

These responses have the following characteristics.

- For both selections, the idea is perceptive and reflects an awareness of the complexities of the text. The student is able to develop a coherent explanation of the idea by making discerning connections across both selections.
- For both selections, the text evidence that is used to support the idea is specific and well chosen. Overall, the evidence strongly supports the validity of the idea.
- For both selections, the combination of the idea and the text evidence demonstrates a deep understanding of the text.

Jaime Teevan and Barry Bingham, Jr., both have something in common. They're two totally different people, from two different generations, but yet they try to adapt to the future, they want what's best for their company. In the selection "2009 Young Innovators Under 35: Jaime Teevan, 32" the author writes, "So Teevan started building tools that sort Internet search results according to a user's personal data..." Teevan's trying to improve her computer's websites by studying people's search habits, as in the selection "Digital Dad Versus the Dinosaur" Barry Bingham, Jr., "began replacing type writers with word processors. The composing room was one of the first to be computerized..." He's trying to improve the company by modernizing all the equipment. So in the end, they both just want what's best for their companies.

**Score Point 3**

The student presents the idea that both Jaime Teevan and Barry Bingham, Jr., are trying to adapt so they can improve the future. The student develops a perceptive idea by explaining the intended effects of how their companies will benefit from their actions. For both selections, the text evidence used is specific and well chosen, strongly supporting the validity of the idea.

A common characteristic between Teevan and Barry Jr. is their ambition in the work field. A quote from "2009 Young Innovators Under 35: Jaime Teevan, 32" states, "She literally almost single handedly created this whole area." referring to Teevan. This is an example of the 'go-getter' attitude she possesses. Furthermore, in the passage, "Digital Dad Versus the Dinosaurs" it explains, "My Datsun-driving environmentalist dad helmed his vision of what he called the "electronic newspaper." Which proves his ambition in the newspaper industry by finding new ways to produce news. In both passages these people share the ambition to achieve different goals in the work field.

**Score Point 3**

In this exemplary response, the student presents the idea that both Jaime Teevan and Barry Bingham, Jr., possess ambition, which helps them become innovators in their respective fields. Further analysis clarifies the idea and shows that the student can make discerning connections across the selections. Overall, the textual evidence provided strongly supports the validity of the idea.